

# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Type of Requestor:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HCP <input type="checkbox"/> IE <input type="checkbox"/> IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital  4301 Vista Rd.  Pasadena, TX 77503	MDR Tracking No.:                      M4-03-7668-01
	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Texas Mutual Ins. Co./Rep. Box #: 54 221 West 6 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300 Austin, TX 78701	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name:                      Proworx Inc
	Insurance Carrier's No.:              99A0000274796

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
7-3-02	7-6-02	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$67,518.84	\$00.00

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of July 15, 2003 states, "...In accordance with TWCC Rule 134.401 and QRL 01-01, the total amount of reimbursement due to the hospital is \$108,366.18. The prior amounts paid by the carrier were \$11,481.38. Therefore, the carrier is required to reimburse the remainder of the Workers' Compensation Reimbursement Amount of \$67,518.84, plus interest..."

## PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of July 3, 2003 states, "... The requestor bill Texas Mutual \$108,366.18 for this 3-day stay... the requestor has not offered any explanation reasonably supporting the excessive charges for this hospital stay..."

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The UB-92 lists the "Prin Diag 722.10"; lumbar disc displacement. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 3 days (consisting of 3 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3,354.00 (3 times \$1,118). The Requestor billed \$2,145.00 for Rev. Code 110 (Room and Board) and the Respondent reimbursed \$2,145.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows: The Respondent reimbursed \$1,209.00 for Rev. Code 250 (Pharmacy), \$10,087.00 for Rev Code 278 (Implants) and \$185.38 for Rev Code 391 (Blood/Admin) for a total of \$13,626.38. The requestor did not submit any medical documentation that the surgery involved unusually extensive services or any invoices.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

#### PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Findings and Decision by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Signature

Roy Lewis

\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed Name

6-21-05

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Decision

#### PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on \_\_\_\_\_. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**

#### PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_